DOCUMENTATION FOR AWARD FAR 8.405-2 – ORDERS REQUIRING A STATEMENT OF WORK

State of Ohio Immunization Information System Procurement

The following documents award to Scientific Technologies Corporation (STC) as required by FAR Subpart 8.405-2(f) "Minimum Documentation".

(1) THE SCHEDULE CONTRACTS CONSIDERED, NOTING THE CONTRACTOR FROM WHICH THE SERVICE WAS PURCHASED

Contractor	Contract No.	SIN	FSS Price	Discount	Quote Price
HPES	GS-35F-0323J	132-51	b(4)		\$7,727,105,20
STC	GS-35F-0548S	132-51		b(4)	\$2,384,750,00
Sage/Envision	GS-35F-0366K	132-51	b(4)	b(4)	\$2,778,036.00
IGE	Based on Tennessee TO				\$ 2,396,153.97

Award is made to STC.

(2) DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE PURCHASED

The primary goal of this project is to provide services to establish and maintain an immunization registry that shall manage immunization and blood lead level records that are accurate, up-to-date and complete — with all information pertaining to each individual consolidated into one non-duplicative history for Ohio. The final objective after successful completion of Immunization Information System (IIS) installation, data migration and customization is an immunization system with an operating environment that is secure, highly available and reliable, and utilized by trained staff.

(3) THE AMOUNT PAID

The total amount of order with the base period and options (if awarded) is below. The base year is obligated at award.

Base		\$	B(4)
OY1		.\$	b(4)
QY2	,	\$	b(4)
OY3		\$	b(4)
OY4		\$.	b(4)
Total		\$2,	384,750.00

determining factor in making a Best Value determination and subsequent award." HPES stronger technical (by two evaluators) could not justify the substantial difference in price: HPES total price is whereas STCs total price is stronger technical (by two evaluators) could not justify the substantial difference in price: HPES total price is stronger whereas STCs total price is stronger by the substantial difference in price is stronger technical (by two evaluators) could not justify the substantial difference in price: HPES total price is stronger by the substantial difference in price: B(4) STC was technically acceptable with acceptable past performance with a competitive price comparable to the IGE – therefore CDC recommended award to STC. The CO read all reports and documentation and concurred with the recommendation.

(6) THE PRICE REASONABLENESS DETERMINATION REQUIRED BY FAR SUBPART 8,405-2 (d)

FAR Subpart 8.404(d) states that "GSA has already determined the prices of supplies and fixed-price services, and rates for services offered at hourly rates, under schedule contracts to be fair and reasonable". The CO also deems the price of this task order fair and reasonable based on the competitive price presented by Sage and the IGE. The level of effort and the mix of labor proposed to perform this task order were also evaluated by the technical team members and considered appropriate and fair and reasonable for the work. Finally, STC's price was based on a significant of percent discount on their GSA software prices and a nearly percent reduction to their posted GSA staffing rates. In a discussion with Ms. B(4) she advised that the IGE was based on an awarded Tennessee task order with a similar scope of work to the Ohio scope of work, which further validates the IGE and indicates that while HPES's pricing is high, STC also made a business decision to offer discounts to provide a competitive price to the government for this task order while providing the appropriate level of effort.

- (7) THE RATIONALE FOR USING OTHER THAN—
- (i) A firm-fixed price order; or

NA

(ii) A performance-based order;

NA

(8) WHEN AN ORDER EXCEEDS THE SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION THRESHOLD, EVIDENCE OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORDERING PROCEDURES AT 8,405-2(c).

As per the Ebuy Notification Email dated August 4, 2015 in the RFQ ECF tab, RFQ notices were sent to three vendors under Schedule 70, SIN 132-51, namely: HLN Consulting, Hewlett Packard (HPES) and UPP Technology. All other contractors under SIN 132-51 were able to submit a quotation. Three contractors submitted quotations and twenty eight (28) schedule contractors submitted "no bids".

(9) FOR ORDERS EXCEEDING THE MICRO-PURCHASE THRESHOLD, BUT NOT EXCEEDING THE SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION THRESHOLD. NA

(10) EXCLUDED PARTIES LIST

The contractor is not on Excluded Parties List as Indicated on SAM.gov.

Based on the foregoing, award of Task Order ID01150075 is made to STC against Federal Supply Schedule GS-35F-0548S.

Contracting Officer					
Date:	B(6)				

>\$650,000.00 - Reviewed one level above the Contracting Officer

Michael .	Attachi	visition División	
Director	Assisteri Áccí		}
Date:	B(6)	9/3	24/15